

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/354,302	07/16/1999	CHRISTOPHER K. MORZANO	M4065.0176/P	4970	
24998	7590 11/05/2002				
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP			EXAMINER		
2101 L STRE		LUU, AN T			
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20037-1526		555,7111		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2816		
				DATE MAILED: 11/05/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		_	\mathcal{M}
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/354,302	MORZANO, CHRISTOPHER K.
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit
		An T. Luu	2816
Period	Th MAILING DATE of this communication a for Reply	pp ars on the cover sheet w	vith the corr spondence address
THE - Ex aft - If t - If N - Fa - An	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION tensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period liture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stating yreply received by the Office later than three months after the mail (and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)[∑	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	3 September 2002 .	
2a)[This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ ⁻	This action is non-final.	·
3)□ Dispos	Since this application is in condition for allo- closed in accordance with the practice unde ition of Claims		
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-56 and 82-98</u> is/are pending in th	ne application.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withday	rawn from consideration.	
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>38-56</u> is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8,11-20,23-33,36,37 and 82-98</u> is	/are rejected.	
7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>9,10,21,22,34 and 35</u> is/are objecte	ed to.	
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.	
Applica	ition Papers		
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.	
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc	cepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to		
11)[_	The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	. •	
•	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
а	ı) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in A	Application No
*	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International E See the attached detailed Office action for a list	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	·	
	a) The translation of the foreign language p Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	provisional application has b	peen received.
Attachme		p, without 00 0.0.0	- 55 (
1)	cice of References Cited (PTO-892) cice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) commation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's Response filed on 8-22-02 has been received and entered in the case. The rejections set forth in the previous Office Action are maintained as indicated below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The limitation "the first and second inverters function to reduce the skew present in the complementary clock input signal", lines 9-10 of claims 1, appears to be misdescriptive because lines 17-20, page 11 of the specification clearly indicate that the function to reduce skewing is done by a clock skew reducing circuit 12.

Claims 2-15 are rejected for being dependent on the rejected claim.

Claims 16 and 26 have similar problem as that of claim 1. Claims 17-25 and 27-37 are rejected for being dependent on the rejected claims noted above.

Claims 82 and 91 (method claims for an apparatus recited in claims 1-37) appear to be incomplete because there is no recitation of element to provide method/step to reduce skew.

Claims 83-90 and 92-98 are rejected for being dependent on the rejected claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1-7, 16-19, 82 and 86-90 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated 4. by the Makihara et al. (U.S. Patent 5,243,573).

Makihara et al discloses in figure 2 an apparatus comprising a first and second complementary clock signal input/output lines (line connecting N4 and transistor 29, and N5 and transistor 30) for receiving first and second complementary clock input signals and transmitting first and second complementary clock input signals (at nodes N4 and N5 by virtue of a latch made up by transistors 24-27); first (24,26) and second (25,27) inverters each having an input and an output, wherein the input of the first inverter connected to the output of the second inverter and to the first clock signal input/output line and the input of the second inverter connected to the output of the first inverter and to the second clock signal input/output line as required by claim 1.

As to claim 2, figure 2 shows an enable circuit (12 and 17) for receiving an enable signal and enabling or disabling the first and second inverters in response to the enable signal (SE, /SE).

As to claims 3-5, figure 2 shows a first voltage source (+V) coupled to the first and second inverters by means of enable signal (/SE) via P-channel transistor 12; and a second voltage source (GRD) coupled to the first and second inverters by means of the inverted enable signal (SE) via N-channel transistor. It is inherent that there exists an enable inverter for

Application/Control Number: 09/354,302 Page 4

Art Unit: 2816

inverting the enable signal since SE and /SE are complementary signal. For simplicity, the enable inverter is not shown in the figure.

As to claims 6-7, the scopes of these claims are similar to those of claims 4 and 5. It is noted that the first and second voltages are Ground and V+, respectively.

As to claims 14-15, these claims recite a structure as shown in figure 3 of the instant application. These claims are rejected since transistor 24-27, 12 and 17 in figure 2 of Makihara are configured exactly as required by the claims (fig 3 of Applicant).

The scopes of claims 16-19 are similar to those of claims 3-7 and 15. Therefore, they are rejected for the similar reasons set forth above.

Claims 82 and 86-90 are rejected as being directed to the method or/and steps derived from the apparatus described in claims 1-8 and 16-19 noted above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 8, 11-13, 20, 23-33, 36-37, 83-85 and 91-98 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Makihara et al. (U.S. Patent 5,243,573) in view of the Garcia reference (5,949,259).

Makihara et al discloses all the claimed limitations except for having a first and second buffer or driver circuits coupled to the first and second complementary clock signal input/output

lines as required by claims 8, 11 and 20. First, it is noted that buffer and driver have the same meaning in the field of electrical circuitry. Secondly and lastly, Official Notice is taken for the fact that a driver circuit coupling to a signal line. It is notoriously well known in the art that a driver circuit is used for re-shaping (i.e., delaying, amplifying) a signal to a particular desired form suitable for further processing. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to incorporate a driver circuit into the input/output line taught by Makihara et al to achieve a desirable form of signal that meets the requirement of a particular application.

As to claims 12 and 13, the Garcia reference discloses in figure 6 a driver circuit comprising at least a first and a second driver inverter (202; P4 and N4) connected in series as required by claim 12; and a third inverter (P2,N3) wherein the third inverter and the series connected inverters have the same input and the output of the third inverter connected to a device N1 such that the output of the series connected inverters is set to a predetermined voltage (Vo). It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to select a driver circuit taught by Garcia because the skilled artisans will easily recognize that a driver circuit can be implemented in many different ways in the art, one of such way is as shown in the Garcia for controlling a slewrate of an output buffer circuit. Selecting one of the known designs is seen as design expedient depending upon the particular requirement of the application. Such a selection would improve the teaching of Makihara without departing from the scope and spirit of his invention.

The scopes of claims 23-25 are similar to those of claims 11-13. Therefore, they are rejected for the similar reasons set forth above.

The scopes of claims 26 and 27-33 are similar to those of claims 11 and 2-8, respectively. Therefore, they are rejected for the similar reasons set forth above.

The scopes of claims 36-37 are similar to those of claims 12-13. Therefore, they are rejected for the similar reason set forth above.

Claims 83-85 and 91-98 are rejected as being directed to the method or/and steps derived from the apparatus described in claims 8, 11-13 and 23-33 noted above.

Page 6

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 8-22-02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding to the rejection of independent claims 1, 16, 26, 82 and 91 under 35 USC 102 by Makihara, Applicant has argued that (1) Makihara's invention does not receive complementary clock signals as input signals nor does it output complementary clock signals; and (2) the skew of the signals [in Makihara's invention] would not be reduced. Examiner respectfully disagrees on the first assertion because transistors 24-27 are configured as two inverters in anti-parallel (i.e., output of one inverter is coupled to input of the other inverter). Therefore, their input/output signals are complementary signals. As to the second assertion, claims are rejected for either misdescriptive or incomplete as noted in paragraph 1. Therefore, the limitation "skew" is not given patentable weight in this Office Action. If Applicant insists that the recitation of claims reflect what is shown in figure 3, then the structure comprising transistors 12, 17 and 24-27 (figure 1 of Makihara et al.) is fully capable providing "skewing" function since these transistors are identically configured as what are depicted in figure 3 of the instant application. Further, a result derived from certain apparatus is not given patentable weight

(i.e., wherein the first and second inverters function to reduce the skew present in the complementary clock input signal). See MPEP Sec. 2112.

Regarding to the rejection of claims 8, 11-13, 20, 23-33, 36-37, 83-85 and 91-98 under 35 USC 103 by Makihara in view of Garcia, Applicant has argued that Garcia's circuit does not receive input/output complementary signals. Examiner respectfully disagrees with the above assertion because Garcia is a secondary reference for showing a buffer coupled the input/output. Therefore, complementary signals are not necessarily required to be taught by Garcia.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 38-56 are allowed.
- 9. Claims 9-10, 21-22 and 34-35 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 10. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: see the previous Office Action.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to An T. Luu whose telephone number is 703-308-4922. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy P. Callahan can be reached on 703-308-4876. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

An T. Luu

10-29-02